

APPROVED
by editorial council of the
Russian interdisciplinary journal
of the social humanities
"Intelligentsia and the World"

REGULATIONS
of the order of reviewing of manuscripts of the articles
presented for the publication in the scientific periodical journal
"Intelligentsia and the World"

1. Organization and order of reviewing.

1.1. Reviewing of manuscripts of the articles presented for the publication in the scientific journal "Intelligentsia and the World" is organized by the editorial board of the journal. Responsibility for the quality of reviews and timeliness of carrying out reviewing of manuscripts of the articles is assigned to the editor-in-chief of the journal.

1.2. Members of editorial council and the editorial board of the scientific journal "Intelligentsia and the World" as well as the highly skilled scientists and experts of Ivanovo State University and other organizations and enterprises having profound professional knowledge and experience in the concrete scientific direction, as a rule, doctors of science and professors can be drawn in for carrying out reviewing of manuscripts of articles as reviewers. All reviewers must have publications on the subject of the reviewed article for the last three years. The author or the co-author of the reviewed work cannot be the reviewer.

1.3. Reviewing of manuscripts of the articles is obligatory for teachers of Ivanovo State University and is considered in their individual plans. Payment for the work of the reviewers who are not the staff of the University is made according to the existing rules.

1.4. Reviews of manuscripts of the articles have to be kept in the editorial board within five years from the date of the publication of the articles and be represented by inquiries of advisory councils of the highest certifying commission of the Russian Federation.

1.5. The editorial board sends copies of reviews or motivated refusal to the authors of the reviewed materials.

2. Requirements to the contents of the review.

2.1. The review has to contain the qualified analysis of the material of the article, the objective reasoned assessment and reasonable recommendations.

2.2. In the review the special attention needs to be paid to the covering of the following questions.

- General analysis of scientific level, terminology, structure of the article, relevance of the subject.
- An assessment of readiness of the article to the publication concerning language and style, compliance to the established requirements for registration of the materials of the article.
- Scientific character of the statement, compliance of the methods, techniques, recommendations and results of researches used by the author to modern achievements of science and practice.
- Article volume admissibility in general and its separate elements (text, tables, illustrative material, bibliographic links). Expediency of the inclusion of tables, illustrative material and their compliance to the stated subject. Recommendations of the rational reduction of volume (to specify, for account of what element of the article).
- A place of the reviewed work among others, already printed on the similar subject: what new information it contains or how it differs from them, whether it duplicates the works of other authors or earlier printed works of this author (both in general, and partially).
- The inaccuracies and mistakes made by the author.

2.3. The reviewer has to make recommendations to the author and to the publishing house on improvement of the manuscript. Remarks and wishes of the reviewer have to be objective and principal, directed on the increase of scientific and methodical levels of the manuscript.

2.4. The final part of the review has to contain valid conclusions about the article in general and the accurate recommendation of expediency of its publication in this thematic series of the scientific journal "Intelligentsia and the World" in the concrete scientific direction corresponding to the nomenclature of the scientific specialties approved by the highest certifying commission of the Russian Federation.

2.5. In case of a negative assessment of the manuscript in general the reviewer has to prove the conclusions especially convincingly.

Editor-in-chief of the Russian interdisciplinary journal of
social humanities "Intelligentsia and the World",
doctor of historical sciences, professor

V. S. Memetov